Journal of
Taxation and Regulatory Framework

Volume 5, Issue 1, 2022
(January-June)
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/JTRF

http://lawjournals.celnet.in/index.php/jtrf/index

Review JTRF

Tax Planning Approaches Face Three Challenges: How the Business Context, Tax Planning Goals, and Tax Manager Power Affect Tax Expense

Himanshu Tomar[1,*]

Abstract

Some corporations pay more taxes than others which is an extensively researched issue of interest. Tax avoidance is a well-known suspect rationale. Through 19 in-depth interviews with German tax experts, we establish a comprehensive scientific picture of the impacts on company tax planning. Our findings imply that three major roadblocks in tax strategic planning can account for variations in tax expenses among businesses. These three obstacles determine which tax planning options are available, desired in accordance with the objectives of tax planning, and adoptable according to the authority of the tax manager. This study explores the role of corporate governance in long-run tax planning and adds to existing knowledge in a number of ways. To begin, we provide insight into the horizon concerns associated with executive and director remuneration and demonstrate that incentive compensation offers a lengthy incentive to boost efficiency by showing a correlation between higher compensation responsiveness and reduced taxes. They discover that effective incentives motivate managers to invest in long-term pay outs such as tax management. Furthermore, we discover that this expenditure in tax planning helps stockholders; bigger tax administration is directly connected to greater shareholder dividends. Furthermore, tax administrators can wield varying degrees of power inside their firm, influencing their capacity to execute tax planning strategies. Finally, we present generalizable insights into corporate tax planning processes that assist to explain the observed heterogeneity in tax expenses between organizations.

Keywords: Manager power, qualitative research, tax planning, tax avoidance

INTRODUCTION

The given research explored how company governance affects tax management, and as a result, lengthy underside success.

The primary question in finance-based corporate governance studies is whether governance systems promote performance. The majority of governance studies look at particular events or bottom-line performance indicators. Something that remains unresolved in the research is how governance specifically increases performance, and what the long-run connection is between greater governance and performance. We hope to provide insight into whether greater governance boosts shareholder wealth and net profits over time in this article. The study shows that pay-for-performance offers relatively long incentives for executives and directors, which in turn stimulates investment decisions with longer-term pay-outs, resulting in

***Author for Correspondence**
Himanshu Tomar
E-mail: himanshutomer888@gmail.com

[1]Student, Department of Law, Mewar Law Institute, Vasundhra, Uttar Pradesh, India

Received Date: January 19, 2023
Accepted Date: January 23, 2023
Published Date: February 04, 2023

Citation: Himanshu Tomar. Tax Planning Approaches Face Three Challenges: How the Business Context, Tax Planning Goals, and Tax Manager Power Affect Tax Expense. Journal of Taxation and Regulatory Framework. 2022; 5(1): 25–29p.

increased value for shareholders and profitability [1]. Then, we look at the structural disparities in firms' taxes. Knowledge of how governance relates to tax management provides us with a broader understanding of how governance functions in the long and short term. We obtain particular insight into future issues concerning CEO and director compensation [2]. Because decision makers have multiple options for allocating business resources, it is critical to understand whether organizations that invest in tax management are consistently different from those that do not. Management methods as well as governance influence the company's tax strategy selection. Boards and executives are accountable for resource allocation, performance, and generating shareholder wealth, so they play an important role in deciding on a tax management approach. In terms of allocating resources and improving performance, directors have a limited number of options [3]. A board interested in improving top-line performance will direct resources toward sales growth through advertising or physical (capital) development [4]. They can also choose to concentrate on bottom-line performance. When the board invests in value-maximizing initiatives, like tax planning, the outcome is lower taxes and enhanced underside profitability [5].

Our research indicates that governance is critical to long-term tax management. Pay-performance-sensitivity (PPS) is the most important driver of tax management for both directors and CEOs. These findings apply to a wide range of tax planning scenarios [6]. We discover that a high PPS results in lower taxes, both in GAAP effective tax rates and cash taxes paid. A one-unit increase into CEO PPS translates in a 0.541% reduction in industry-adjusted GAAP ETR and a 0.571% reduction in industry adjusted cash ETR. Similarly, a high PPS means reduced foreign and domestic taxes. A one-unit increase in CEO PPS leads to a 0.166% reduction in sector international taxes and a 0.477% fall in sector domestic taxes, a significant savings.

We discovered in a recent study that reputation issues are the top two reasons why corporations need not plan the tax accounts very vigorously. On the other hand, it concludes that negative reputational impacts for enterprises recognized as engaged in tax hiding could be observed. Considering these findings of current tax research, which consists primarily of quantitative empirical studies, we believe that what is lacking in the current discussion of avoiding tax is a more in-depth comprehension of how actual tax planning occurs in a business context. These contain answers to questions about how businesses make tax-paying decisions as well as the restrictions and enablers that tax administrators face.

Given the current state of research, we conclude that it is highly relevant and advantageous to examine the aforementioned topics using a qualitative research methodology, that is, through the "creation of theory from insights". Such a subjective approach is particularly interesting since earlier quantitative research was unable to either combine contradictory data into a unified framework or provide persuasive explanations for the motivations for evasion and the unique involvement of different players, such as tax managers, in the system. We are making contributions.

We standardize the tax strategic planning along three key stumbling blocks based on expert interviews. This credible three-horse model allows us to pinpoint more precisely the origins of differences in income tax across companies:

- Particular tax planning approaches may or may not be accessible in the company environment (hiatus 1);
- Not all of the available approaches are desirable in terms of the company's tax planning goals (obstacle 2);
- Finally, due to a lack of tax management power, not all techniques remaining after barrier 2 are able to be implemented in the organization (hurdle 3).

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

Several publications have claimed that corporate tax is a value-enhancing action and discovered that shareholders believe this. Furthermore, some studies have discovered that shareholders reward tax evasion. Companies were willing to take part in tax planning if it was seen to add value. Although tax management can enhance a company's bottom line, it is crucial to understand that there are costs involved with deciding to invest resources in tax planning. Funds utilized for tax planning could be dedicated to income-generating investments [7]. In addition to potential costs, there are other expenses associated with employing assets for tax planning, such as transaction costs, implicit taxes, and uncertainty. Furthermore, findings from organizational aspects research will assist in comprehending current information on variations of the tax accounting process and organizational structure, as well as their impact on tax expenses. Next, researchers study the identified causes of both high and low taxation as well as other measures of tax planning results, and we organize what existing research shows about the relationship between corporate tax avoidance activity and those measurements of tax planning results [8].

INVESTIGATION OF TAX STRATEGY, PLANNING, AND TEAM STRUCTURE

We draw on lessons from prior research on the tax planning process as well as on organizational structure since we are interested in the factors impacting corporate tax planning. To our knowledge, there are three relevant, largely practice-oriented works [9]. We found in a randomized trial that companies vary in how they incorporate financial planning into their company's overall strategy and found that both tax and non-tax elements can explain those disparities.

Companies with maximum tax anchoring tend to have more tax-interested executives, lengthy directors of tax, and are more varied. However, the consequences for those enterprises' tax expenses are not properly determined. Researchers analyzed not just the genuine collaboration between the tax agency and the business divisions, as well as the former intentions toward the tax agency. The findings indicate that the tax agency's objectives are primarily defined internally and that very few additional sources are used to objectively assess the tax department's performance.

A plethora of studies have been conducted on the correlation between taxes and effective prices. Several studies explored long-term business tax minimization and profiled companies that have properly controlled their taxes. Investigators discovered that one of their sample firms can keep their lengthy tax rate under 20%, compared to the mean of 30%. For example, a study investigated the relationship between business size and ETR and found that firm growth is generally related to a company's ETR. It is compatible with anecdotal information that bigger companies investing in tax preparation receive negative media attention [10]. As a result, larger corporations have more to lose; consequently, tax management enhances the danger of political cost.

CONCEPT OF TAX EVASION

Many examine what recent research has revealed about the causes of various levels of tax expenses and their relationship to business tax avoidance behavior. In the case of tax evasion, the phenomenon was later attributed to an existing measure—the ETR—rather than the other way around. Initially, developing a research on company's tax-paying conduct utilized a different, larger tax strategy perspective compared to the case at the moment.

They developed their study questions in order to determine whether the tax structure remained unbiased, i.e., whether business features were not related to varying levels of corporation ETRs. Such a study investigated, and in part, effectively connected company variables including leverage, sector membership, size of the company, and revenue with a measure of comparative tax expense. Several of these early characteristics are still found as controls in modern tax research. Due to the significant amount of inexplicable variability in ETRs, a link between ETRs and tax avoidance behavior is proposed. Because tax evasion can be observed directly, academics analyze the impact of suggestive factors such as the scope of foreign businesses and particular tax-saving methods just on rate of evasion.

Aside from such quantifiable and qualitative business characteristics, researchers began to investigate three components. ETRs refer to the ratio of a periodic tax obligation to pre-tax revenue. There are additional forms of ETRs, such as cash ETR, which is determined as income taxes split by pre-tax income, and ongoing ETR, which would be determined as the present tax obligation split by pre-tax revenue. The proportion of long-term assets to total debt is commonly used to calculate leverage. A repaired approach based on common industry categories is frequently used to express industry membership as dummies (SIC). The natural log of net revenue, net assets, or enterprise value is the least homogeneously proxied measure of a company's size. Profitability is often used as a dummy variable in addition to a company's net loss.

TAX USING THE ANALYTICAL DEAL WITH THE FOLLOWING METHODS

Using an analytical tool and new technology, researchers began by formulating preliminary, tentative hypotheses that would explain anything like the effects on income, strategic planning, and the tax bill based on the body of current literature. The basic hypotheses were then modified as more knowledge was gained in order to incorporate fresh data from our analysis into a broad framework. Then, we used the idea of theoretical sampling throughout this iterative process of theory construction, data gathering, and analysis. Theoretical sampling, in contrast to random sampling methods, involves the deliberate, non-random selection of instances that the researcher believes will be most helpful in developing their theory. We examine participants from various groups while conducting our research in a multiple-case setting.

Practicable Tax Planning Techniques

The challenge a business must face before implementing a specific tax planning strategy is having a tax manager with the necessary skills. We discover that the system of the tax department as well as the personality power related to the individual Head of Taxes both influence the power of the tax manager. The fundamental reason why a tax manager's influence is so significant is because many, if not the majority of tax planning strategies, call for substantive changes to a company's operations rather than merely paper-based ones.

Capabilities

The Head of Taxes' unique powers are the source of the last type of tax manager power. While some academics limit an individual's ability to influence an organization to the organizational structure in which they function as research on the various ways that people can gain power in various organizational settings demonstrates, acquiring power in organizations is driven by two distinct skills:

1. First, the person must be able to participate in a distinctive fashion which necessitates that his or her decisions and outcomes depend on unique judgment and are difficult to automate.
2. Second, the person must be adept at integrating into strong connections.

On the basis of these observations, we divide the necessary qualifications for acquiring and retaining tax manager competence into two categories: expert technical knowledge and interpersonal skills. It is not surprising that a professional has an expert functional understanding of tax regulations. Most tax planning associations in the field of tax planning connect to a somewhat technical job description with a "context of complexity and rapid change", requiring accuracy and stringent documentation process. The more insightful portion therefore focuses on the value of interpersonal abilities for tax staff, particularly the Head of Taxes.

CONCLUSION

We will use greater common sense when selecting our servers. We began by measuring simply the three most significant inner informal and formal power factors which were first repeatedly mentioned throughout the conversations, and secondly, revealed variance between our sample firms. The equivalents of external reach frequently fall within the prestige power category with metric adaption from the Director of Taxes to middle level of the managers.

REFERENCES

1. Cooper M, Nguyen QT. Multinational enterprises and corporate tax planning: A review of literature and suggestions for a future research agenda. International Business Review. Jun 2020; 29(3): 101692.
2. Graham JR, Hanlon M, Shevlin T, Shroff N. Incentives for tax planning and avoidance: Evidence from the field. The Accounting Review. May 2014; 89(3): 991–1023.
3. Mgammal MH. Corporate tax planning and corporate tax disclosure. Meditari Accountancy Research. Oct 2019; 28(2): 327–364.
4. Khaoula F, Moez D. The moderating effect of the board of directors on firm value and tax planning: Evidence from European listed firms. Borsa Istanbul Review. Dec 2019; 19(4): 331–343.
5. Robinson JR, Sikes SA, Weaver CD. Performance measurement of corporate tax departments. The Accounting Review. May 2010; 85(3): 1035–1064.
6. Klassen KJ, Lisowsky P, Mescall D. The role of auditors, non-auditors, and internal tax departments in corporate tax aggressiveness. The Accounting Review. Jan 2016; 91(1): 179–205.
7. Gribnau H, Jallai AG. Sustainable tax governance and transparency. Challenges in Managing Sustainable Business: Reporting, Taxation, Ethics and Governance. 2019. pp. 337–369.
8. Bärsch SE, Heckemeyer JH, Olbert M. Transfer pricing and the decision-making authority of the tax function in multinational companies. Available at SSRN 3271267. May 2019.
9. Ang JS. On the theory of finance for privately held firms. The Journal of Entrepreneurial Finance. 1992; 1(3): 185–203.
10. Gilson RJ, Schizer DM. Understanding venture capital structure: A tax explanation for convertible preferred stock. Harvard Law Review. Jan 2003; 116(3): 874–916.

