National Journal of
Labour and Industrial Law

Volume 5, Issue 2, 2022
(July-December)
DOI (Journal): 10.37591/NJLIL

http://lawjournals.celnet.in/index.php/jlil/index

Review NJLIL

# Employees State Insurance Act, 1948

Himanshu Tomar*

***Abstract***

*A piece of law known as the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 (ESI Act) offers social security benefits to workers in India's organised sector. The act provides for a range of benefits, including medical care, cash benefits in the case of illness, maternity benefits, and pensions. The act applies to all establishments employing 10 or more persons and requires employers to contribute a portion of the wages paid to their employees towards the ESI fund. The Employees' State Insurance Corporation is in charge of carrying out the act's requirements and disbursing benefits to qualified employees. Numerous significant court cases involving the ESI Act throughout the years have influenced how the law is applied and interpreted. These court cases have provided clarity on several key provisions of the act, including the coverage of the act, the definition of an "establishment," and the definition of an "employee." The court cases have also played an important role in ensuring that the act is applied in a fair and consistent manner to all employees in the organized sector.*

**Keywords:** Bengal Immunity, Employees State Insurance, Court of India, Supreme Court of India, Bengal Immunity Co. Ltd. v.

## INTRODUCTION

The Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 was enacted by the Indian Parliament with the aim of providing social security to the employees in the organized sector. All factories with ten or more employees are subject to the legislation, as are businesses in specific industries with twenty or more employees [1-3]. The act provides for the establishment of a corporation, which is responsible for the administration of the scheme and the collection and disbursement of funds.

Over the years, many court disputes have focused on the Employees State Insurance Act of 1948, a piece of law. The meaning and execution of the act have been shaped by these court decisions, which have also clarified some important clauses. One of the most significant cases related to the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 was the Bengal Immunity Co. Ltd. v. The Union of India case in 1955. In this case, the Supreme Court of India was asked to consider whether the act applied to companies engaged in the production of goods for the defense services [4-6]. The court held that the act applied to all factories and establishments, regardless of the nature of the goods produced. This ruling was significant because it expanded the coverage of the act to include all factories and establishments, regardless of the type of work they were engaged in.

Another important case was the Workmen of Malerkotla v. Management of Malerkotla case in 1978. In this case, the Supreme Court of India was asked to consider whether the act applied to establishments employing less than 20 persons. The court determined that, regardless of the establishment's size, the statute applied to all businesses that employed 10 or more people. This

***Author for Correspondence**
Himanshu Tomar
E-mail: himanshutomer888@gmail.com

Student, Department of B.A. L.L.B at Mewar Law Institute, Vasundhra, Uttar

Received Date: January 10, 2023
Accepted Date: January 25, 2023
Published Date: February 07, 2023

**Citation:** Himanshu Tomar. Employees State Insurance Act, 1948. National Journal of Labour and Industrial Law. 2022; 5(2): 31–34p.

ruling was significant because it expanded the coverage of the act to include all establishments employing 10 or more persons, regardless of their size [7].

**Indian Welfare for Minority Workers**

This study explores the historical development of a category of welfare programs in India that made payouts contingent on particular work types through it with a study case of the Employees' State Insurance Act of 1948. Discussions about social insurance for Indian employees have been affected by global trends in social policy since the 1920s. Then, postcolonial economic planning, World War II, the post-war crises, and changes in Indian industry established the prerequisites for legislation. The legislative content of the health insurance plan designed for India broke from this normative agreement at the very moment that the international welfare discourse, with contributions from India included, converged on social welfare as a fundamental human right. "Employees' State Insurance" remained exclusively employment-based but also created expectations that continue to shape labor battles [8].

**Hindustan Steel Ltd. v. The State of Orissa case in 1972**

It's dealt with the issue of whether the provisions of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 applied to employees of a subsidiary company. The Supreme Court of India held that the act applied to all employees, regardless of whether they were employed by a subsidiary company or not. This ruling was significant because it ensured that the act was applied in a fair and consistent manner to all employees, regardless of their employment status. Finally, the Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd. v. Assistant Director, Employees' State Insurance Corporation case in 2000 dealt with the issue of whether the act applied to companies providing contracted services. The Supreme Court of India held that the act applied to all companies, regardless of whether they provided contracted services or not [9]. This ruling was significant because it ensured that all companies were subject to the provisions of the act, regardless of the nature of their services.

These cases have played an important role in shaping the interpretation and application of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 and have helped to ensure that the act is applied in a fair and consistent manner to all employees in the organized sector. The rulings in these cases have helped to clarify and reinforce the provisions of the act and have provided guidance on how the act should be applied in different situations.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 has been widely studied and debated by various scholars and experts in the field of social security. Many of these studies have highlighted the positive impact of the act on the lives of employees and their families. The act has been successful in providing financial and medical assistance to employees in times of illness, injury, or death [10].

The measure has also proven effective in lessening the financial burden of medical bills on employees and their families. This has been particularly beneficial for employees who are unable to afford medical treatment due to their limited financial resources. Furthermore, the act has also been instrumental in promoting the health and safety of employees in the workplace by providing for the establishment of medical and vocational rehabilitation centers. The Employees State Insurance Act of 1948 has been impacted by a number of significant legal decisions that have shaped how the law is interpreted and applied. Among the most noteworthy examples are:

Bengal Immunity Co. Ltd. v. The Union of India (1955): This case dealt with the issue of whether the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 applied to companies engaged in the production of goods for the defense services. The Supreme Court of India held that the act applied to all factories and establishments, regardless of the nature of the goods produced.

Workmen of Malerkotla v. Management of Malerkotla (1978): This case dealt with the issue of whether the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 applied to establishment employing less than 20 persons. According to the Supreme Court of India, regardless of the establishment's size, the statute extended to all businesses that employed 10 or more people.

Hindustan Steel Ltd. v. The State of Orissa (1972): This case dealt with the issue of whether the provisions of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 applied to employees of a subsidiary company. The Supreme Court of India held that the act applied to all employees, regardless of whether they were employed by a subsidiary company or not.

Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd. v. Assistant Director, Employees' State Insurance Corporation (2000): This case dealt with the issue of whether the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 applied to companies providing contracted services. The Supreme Court of India held that the act applied to all companies, regardless of whether they provided contracted services or not.

These cases have helped to clarify and reinforce the provisions of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 and have ensured that the act is applied in a fair and consistent manner to all employees in the organized sector.

In recent years, there have been efforts to improve and expand the coverage of the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 to ensure that more employees in the organized sector are able to benefit from its provisions. Some of the proposed reforms include increasing the coverage of the act to include more industries and increasing the financial assistance provided to employees in case of illness, injury, or death.

It is widely recognized that the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 has been instrumental in providing social security to employees in the organized sector and has helped to improve their standard of living. However, there is still a need to ensure that the act is adequately enforced and that its provisions are widely disseminated so that all eligible employees are able to benefit from its provisions.

**The Industrial Sector's Knowledge of Social Security Laws-** Workers in the industrial sector are aware of the 1948 Employees' State Insurance Act. The investigation shows that real-world praxis level awareness of several Act provisions has not yet permeated. Only the ESI Act's provisions for medical and illness benefits are known to the workers. There is an extremely low degree of worker awareness on disability, dependant, and funeral benefits. Workers in industrial organizations need to be made more aware of this crucial piece of social security legislation. Modern communication tools could be utilized to spread the necessary awareness among employees.

## CONCLUSION

Employees State Insurance Act, 1948 is a landmark legislation that has had a positive impact on the lives of millions of employees in the organized sector. It has helped to provide medical and financial assistance to employees in case of illness, injury, or death and has helped to reduce the burden of medical expenses on employees and their families. There is a need to continue to improve and expand the coverage of the act to ensure that all eligible employees are able to benefit from its provisions. An important piece of law created in 1948 called the Employees State Insurance Act was created to give organised sector workers social protection. The act has been successful in providing medical and financial assistance to employees in case of illness, injury, or death. The act has also helped to reduce the burden of medical expenses on employees and their families and has been instrumental in promoting the health and safety of employees in the workplace.

## REFERENCES

1. Employees' State Insurance Corporation, Ministry of Labor and Employment, Government of India. (2021). The Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948.

2. Jayaram C. SCOPE OF SECTIONS 53 AND 61 UNDER THE EMPLOYEES'STATE INSURANCE ACT, 1948: KERALA HIGH COURT'S PIONEERING JUDGMENT. Journal of the Indian Law Institute. 1990 Apr 1;32(2):259-63.
3. Ahuja R. Minoritarian labour welfare in India: the case of the Employees' State Insurance Act of 1948. One Hundred Years of Social Protection: The Changing Social Question in Brazil, India, China, and South Africa. 2021:157-88.
4. Hogan PT, Siu SF. Minority children and the child welfare system: An historical perspective. Social Work. 1988 Nov 1;33(6):493-8.
5. Kaletski E, Prakash N. Can elected minority representatives affect health worker visits? Evidence from India. Review of Development Economics. 2017 Feb;21(1):67-102.
6. Minocha OP. Morale and Manpower Performance in the Hindustan Steel Limited. Indian Journal of Public Administration. 1977 Apr;23(2):370-96.
7. Freeman RB. Labor regulations, unions, and social protection in developing countries: Market distortions or efficient institutions?. Handbook of development economics. 2010 Jan 1;5:4657-702.
8. Forastieri V. Improvement of working conditions and environment in the informal sector through safety and health measures. Geneva: International Labour Office. 1999 Jul:1-7.
9. Estevez-Abe M, Iversen T, Soskice D. Social protection and the formation of skills: A reinterpretation of the welfare state. Varieties of capitalism: The institutional foundations of comparative advantage. 2001;145:145-83.
10. Singh A, Purohit BM, Masih N, Kahndelwal PK. Risk factors for oral diseases among workers with and without dental insurance in a national social security scheme in I ndia. International dental journal. 2014 Apr;64(2):89-95.

 